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#### Abstract

The new ligand 2,6-bis(diphenylphosphino)- $N$-methylaniline (LH) has been prepared via repeated $o$-lithiation of lithium $N$-methyl- $N$-phenylcarbamate. Reaction of LH with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ gives $[P, N-\mathrm{LH}] \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(1)$ and (with an excess of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ ) $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}-\mathrm{LH}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (3). Reaction of the anion $\mathrm{L}^{-}$with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ initially gives the carbamoyl complex $\left\{[P, C-L C(O)] \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right\}^{-} \mathrm{Li}^{+}$(4), but use of an excess of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and extended reaction times results in formation of the binuclear complex $\left\{\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}\right\}^{-} \mathrm{Li}^{+}$(5). Complex 5 does not react with oxygen, but treatment with halogen sources $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ gives $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right][\mu-\mathrm{X}]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}(6, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ via an intermediate formulated as $\left\{\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]\right\}^{-} \mathrm{Li}^{+}$(7). All complexes have been characterized by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy. The structure of $6-\mathrm{Br}$ has been confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. The molecule of $6-\mathrm{Br}$ is of approximate $C_{s}$ symmetry; each molybdenum atom is surrounded by three carbonyl groups, a phosphine, bridging nitrogen and bromine atoms ( $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}=2.18$ and $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{Br}=2.70 \AA \mathrm{av}$.) and the second molybdenum atom ( $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{Mo}=2.987(2) \AA$ ). The metal-metal distance and electron-counting rules indicate the presence of a single metal-metal bond.


## Introduction

In recent years, ligands capable of keeping two or more metals in close proximity have received considerable attention, primarily because of their potential applications in homogenous catalysis [1]. The most popular binucleating ligands are probably the neutral ligands bis(diphenylphosphino)methane (DPPM) [1a,b] and

2-diphenylphosphinopyridine [2], and the anionic phosphido [3] and thiolato [4] groups. These bridging ligands are frequently capable of preserving the binuclear framework during reactions, but numerous exceptions have also been reported [5]. We were interested in designing a ligand system which holds it metal atoms so strongly that there is little chance of fragmentation during chemical transformations. The 2,6 -bis(diphenylphosphino)- $N$-methylaniline ligand LH and especially its anion $\mathrm{L}^{-}$(which should be capable of forming nitrogen-bridged bis(chelate) complexes) were thought likely meet to this requirement. In the present paper, we describe a simple one-pot synthesis of this new ligand. In order to explore its coordination chemistry, we have also prepared a number of mononuclear and binuclear molybdenum complexes.

## Results and discussion

## Ligand synthesis

2,6-Bis(diphenylphosphino)- $N$-methylaniline (LH) was prepared by the general procedure for electrophilic substitution of $N$-alkylanilines described by Katritzky [6] (Scheme 1). $N$-methylaniline was converted into the lithium carbamate, o-lithiated with ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuLi}$, treated with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$, o-lithiated again, and treated with a second equivalent of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$. Hydrolysis of the final carbamate produced the diphosphinoaniline in fair ( $\approx 50 \%$ ) yield. It is also possible to prepare the monophosphinoaniline by hydrolysis of the intermediate monophosphinocarbamate; this hydrolysis is much faster than that of the diphosphino analogue.

Reaction of LH with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$
Refluxing of a THF solution of LH with $\leq 1$ equivalent of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ gives pure $[P, N-L H] M O(C O)_{4}$ (1). No intermediates are detected under the reaction conditions, although $[P-\mathrm{LH}] \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(2)$ is probably formed first (vide infra). With an excess of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ and longer reaction times, $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}-\mathrm{LH}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (3) is obtained. NMR data for these complexes are in Tables $1\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ and $2\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of o-phosphino- $N$-alkylanilines.

Table 1
${ }^{31}$ P NMR data

| Compound | $\delta \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p p m})$ | $J(\mathbf{P P})(\mathrm{Hz})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LH | -14.0 | $8^{a}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $40.3,-20.9$ | 9 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $-11.4,32.6$ | 4 |
| 3 | $41.0,36.6$ | 3 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $46.4,-4.3$ | 4 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 39.8 | $12^{a}$ |
| $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 46.9 | $12^{a}$ |
| $6-\mathrm{Br}$ | 45.9 | $12^{a}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 - I}$ | 43.8 | $12^{a}$ |
| 7 | $75.3,34.6$ | -0 |

${ }^{a}$ Determined from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum.

Reaction of $L^{-}$with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$
The lithium amide LLi is easily prepared from LH and ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ in THF at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It reacts smoothly with $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ to give an extremely air- and moisturesensitive complex which was identified as 4 on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data. Carbamoyl complex 4 is probably formed by attack of the amido nitrogen on a carbon monoxide ligand of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$, followed by displacement of a carbonyl by phosphine. There are precedents for such amide-carbonyl coupling reactions, although the products are frequently unstable [7]. In the present case, the extra stability conferred by the chelate ring structure may be important.

Controlled hydrolysis of $\mathbf{4}$ gave $\mathbf{2}$, which loses CO to give $\mathbf{1}$ with a half-life of ca. $1 \frac{3}{4}$ hour at room temperature. NMR data for 2 are included in Tables 1 and 2. Apparently, the CO elimination and ring closure of 2 to give 1 is more rapid than the formation of 2 from LH and $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$, so it is not surprising that 2 was not detected as an intermediate in the preparation of $\mathbf{1}$ as described above.

Refluxing of 4 with an excess of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ for at least 1 day gives the symmetrical anion $\left\{\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}\right\}^{-}$(5) in which the carbamoyl carbonyl has been lost. Inspection of CPK models suggests that this loss of CO from 4 must




occur before coordination of a molybdenum fragment to the free phosphorus atom can take place: in 4, the $N$-methyl group is pushed into the phosphorus lone pair and prevents Mo-P coordination. The steric congestion around this phosphorus atom should be much less in the $\left\{[P, N-L] M o(C O)_{4}\right\}^{-}$anion obtained by CO loss from 4. Since the formation of carbamoyl complexes is often reversible [7b], $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ dissociation followed by $\mathbf{C O}$ loss and $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathbf{N}$ bond formation seems a plausible mechanism for this reaction.

Oxidation of $\left.\left\{\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right][\mathrm{MoCO})_{4}\right]_{2}\right\}^{-}$
The lithium salt 5 is a red solid, very sensitive to proton sources; it does not react with simple olefins and acetylenes. Surprisingly, 5 is not sensitive to oxygen, but it reacts rapidly with halogen sources $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$. The final product of these reactions is $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right][\mu-\mathrm{X}]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}(6, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$; the bromide was characterized by X-ray diffraction (vide infra). We have attempted to follow these reactions by NMR spectroscopy. The clearest results were obtained in the $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ oxidation: Fig. 1 shows a series of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra for this reaction at various temperatures. Solutions of the reactants were mixed in a high-pressure NMR tube at low temperature, and the temperature was raised slowly. The starting material shows a sharp singlet at 37.0 ppm , and this became very broad at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Two resonances (at 75.0 and 34.9 ppm ) of an intermediate 7 grew in at ca. $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, virtually all of the starting material had been converted into this intermediate; a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum recorded at this point showed a species with seven distinct carbonyl resonances. When the temperature was raised further, a new singlet (due to $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ ) appeared in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectrum at 47.1 ppm , and the two peaks due to 7 gradually disappeared. The $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ oxidations followed similar patterns, but the intermediates decomposed faster, and no satisfactory ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra could be recorded. On the basis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data, intermediate 7 is suggested to have the formula $\left\{\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right]\right\}^{-} \mathrm{Li}^{+}$, with the dispositions of the chlorine and carbonyl ligands around Mo ${ }^{11}$ uncertain [8*]. Some NMR data for

[^0]7 are included in Tables 1 and 2, although the quality of the spectra was insufficient to allow assignment of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals in the aromatic region.


Crystal structure of $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right][\mu-\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}(6-\mathrm{Br})$
Figure 2 shows two views of the structure of $6-\mathrm{Br}$. The top view (2a) clearly shows that each molybdenum atom is surrounded by three carbonyl groups, one


Fig. $1 .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra showing the oxidation of 5 with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ to give $6-\mathrm{Cl}$ via 7 .
phosphorus atom, the bridging nitrogen and bromine atoms, and the second molybdenum; Table 3 contains important bond lengths and angles. Both the metal-metal distance (2.987(2) $\AA$; cf. $(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}[9]$ and $(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}\left[\mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}$ [10], $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{M}=3.16 \AA$ ) and the total electron count are consistent with the presence of a single Mo-Mo bond. We have also carried out extended-Hückel calculations [11] on the model system $\left(\mu-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Br})\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]_{2}$, and the results support the presence of a direct metal-metal bond. The SOMO of the $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PH}_{3}^{+}$radical is largely Mo $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ in character. When two of these radicals are combined, the in-phase combination of these fragment SOMO's produces a single metal-metal $\sigma$-bond, which is largely unaffected by the addition of the bridging $\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ groups. This metal-metal bonding orbital is shown in Fig. 3.

The X-ray structure (Fig. 2a) also illustrates the crowding of the carbonyl groups trans to phosphorus: these groups are significantly distorted from linearity (MoCO $=168(1)$ and $\left.169(1)^{\circ}\right)$ to avoid a close $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ contact $(\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)=2.83(2) \AA$; $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(6)=2.59(2) \AA$ ). The side view (2b) shows the out-of-plane deformation of the phosphorus atoms from the aniline ring plane, which enables the formation of the nitrogen and bromine bridges (and the Mo-Mo bond) with retention of the



Fig. 2. Pluto drawings of $6-\mathrm{Br}$. (a) Top via, showing the atom numbering. (b) Side view, showing the out-of-plane bending of the phosphorus atoms (phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity).
strong Mo-P bonds. Figure 2 b also shows that the $\mathbf{M o ( 1 ) - N - M o ( 2 ) ~ a n d ~ M o ( 1 ) ~}$ $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ planes are nearly perpendicular ( $105.8^{\circ}$ ), in contract with the planar $\mathbf{M}_{2} \mathbf{X}_{2}$ arrangement found in $(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}$ complexes [9,10]. In a hypothetical coplanar structure, the geometric constraints imposed by the diphosphinoaniline ligand would cause the two $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ units to adopt a mer-geometry rather than the fac-geometry observed here. The preference for fac-isomers in 18-electron $\mathrm{ML}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ species is well-known, and is usually ascribed to the possibility of stabilization of all three metal $t_{2 g}$ orbitals by $\pi$-backbonding to CO ; a similar argument could be advanced here. No such distinction applies in the case of $(\mu-\mathrm{I})_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}$, where the arrangements of the CO ligands around the metal atoms would be the same for the coplanar and perpendicular structures. Presumably, the preference for a coplanar structure in that case is dictated by the reduction of unfavourable I $\cdots$ I non-bonded interactions that such an arrangement allows.

## $N M R$ spectroscopy of $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right][\mu-X]\left[M o(C O)_{3}\right]_{2}$ (6)

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the complexes 6 feature only a single broad resonance for the carbonyl carbons at room temperature. At $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the bromide ( $6-\mathrm{Br}$ ) shows three well-separated carbonyl resonances; these are still too broad to distinguish any phosphorus couplings, so we cannot assign them with certainty. The fluxionality of the complexes of 6 is consistent with their formulation as 7-coordinate complexes [12]; also, the strong repulsion between $O(1)$ and $O(6)$ should favour pseudorotation.

One final feature of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of compounds $6(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{I})$ is worth noting. In the room-temperature spectra, the resonance due to carbon atoms $C(9)$ and $C(13)$


Fig. 3. View of the metal-metal bonding orbital of $\left(\mu-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Br})\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]_{2}$ in the plane containing the Mo and N atoms; projections of the other atoms on the cross-section are indicated by asterisks.
Table 2
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data: $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}(J(\mathrm{PC}), \mathrm{Hz})$


[^1]Table 3
Selected geometry data for $6-\mathrm{Br}$

| Bond lengths $(\mathbb{A})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mo1-Mo2 | $2.987(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mo1-Br}$ | $2.690(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $2.515(4)$ | $\mathrm{Mo1-N}$ | $2.181(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo1-C1}$ | $2.00(2)$ | $\mathrm{Mo1-C}$ | $1.96(1)$ | $\mathrm{Mo} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.99(1)$ | $\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{Br}$ | $2.707(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | $2.176(9)$ | $\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $2.514(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.92(1)$ | $\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $2.00(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $2.00(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.82(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $1.81(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{C} 20$ | $1.81(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.83(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 26$ | $1.82(1)$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{C} 32$ | $1.81(1)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.14(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.15(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.15(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.1791)$ | $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.15(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.51(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.46(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.40(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.35(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.39(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.41(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $1.38(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{C} 6$ | $2.59(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.83(2)$ |  |  |


| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo2-Mo1-Br | 56.66(5) | Mo2-Mol-P1 | 116.92(9) | Mo2-Mo1-N | 46.6(2) |
| Mo2-Mo1-Cl | 84.2(4) | Mo2-Mol-C2 | 112.9(4) | Mo2-Mo1-C3 | 149.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo1}-\mathrm{Pl}$ | 93.56(9) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mol-N}$ | 77.8(2) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 93.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mol-C2}$ | 169.5(4) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mol}-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 100.6(3) | P1-Mo1N | 75.6(2) |
| P1-Mol-Cl | 158.1(4) | P1-Mo1-C2 | 90.9(4) | P1-Mo1-C3 | 81.8(4) |
| N-Mol-C1 | 126.2(5) | N-Mo1-C2 | 94.1(4) | N-Mol-C3 | 157.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Mol-C} 2$ | 85.8(5) | C1-Mo1-C3 | 76.6(6) | C2-Mo1-C3 | 89.5(5) |
| Mo1-Mo2-Br | 56.13(5) | Mol-Mo2-N | 46.8(2) | Mo1-Mn2-P2 | 118.04(9) |
| Mo1-Mo2-C4 | 114.3(3) | Mo1-Mo2-C5 | 144.6(4) | Mo1-Mo2-C6 | 84.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{N}$ | 77.5(2) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{P} 2$ | 95.71(9) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 170.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo2-C5}$ | 96.5(4) | $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Mo} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 95.8(4) | N-Mo2-P2 | 75.9(2) |
| N-Mo2-C4 | 96.0(4) | N-Mo2-C5 | 157.7(5) | N-Mo2-C6 | 125.7(4) |
| P2-Mo2-C4 | 89.4(3) | P2-Mo2-C5 | 83.5(4) | P2-Mo2-C6 | 157.4(4) |
| C4-Mo2-C5 | 92.2(5) | C4-Mo2-C6 | 82.3(5) | C5-Mo2-C6 | 75.9(6) |
| Mo1-Br-Mo2 | 67.21(5) | Mo1-P1-C9 | 99.8(4) | Mo2-P2-C13 | 98.9(4) |
| Mo1-N-Mo2 | 86.6(3) | Mo1-N-C7 | 116.6(6) | Mol-N-C8 | 114.7(6) |
| Mo2-N-C7 | 117.4(6) | Mo2-N-C8 | 114.7(6) | C7-N-C8 | 106.3(8) |
| Mo1-C1-O1 | 168.0(1) | Mol-C2-O2 | 174.0(1) | Mol-C3-O3 | 179.0(1) |
| Mo2-C4-O4 | 175.0(1) | Mo2-C5-O5 | 179.0(1) | Mo2-C6-06 | 169.0(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | 121.1(9) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{Cl} 3$ | 120.0(1) | C9-C8-C13 | 119.0(1) |
| P1-C9-C8 | 113.0(8) | P1-C9-C10 | 126.0(1) | C8-C9-C10 | 120.0(1) |
| C9-C10-C11 | 122.0(1) | C10-C11-C12 | 118.0(1) | Cl1-C12-C13 | 119.0(1) |
| P2-C13-C8 | 113.8(8) | P2-C13-C12 | 124.4(9) | C8-C13-C12 | 121.0(1) |
| Distances to least-squares plane through C8‥Cl3 (A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| C8 -0.01(8) | C9 0.02(8) | C10 0.02(8) | C11 -0.02(8) | C12 0.03(8) | C13 0.01(8) |
| N1 $\quad-0.02(8)$ | P1 0.34(8) | P2 0.32(7) |  |  |  |

of the aniline ring is split into an 8-line pattern (Fig. 4a) instead of the 6-line pattern expected for the AXX ' system of one ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and two ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nuclei [13]. Six of these lines have the positions expected for the pure $\mathrm{AXX}^{\prime}$ system, although the intensities of the outer lines are too large. In the low-temperature spectrum (Fig. 4b) the "spurious" lines have broadened and disappeared in the baseline noise. This curious behaviour is not related to the fluxional behaviour of the molecule, but is due to the presence of magnetically active Mo nuclei. Molybdenum contains $9.5 \%{ }^{97}$ Mo and $15.7 \%{ }^{95}$ Mo (both spin 5/2; the other naturally occurring isotopes have spin 0 ). ${ }^{97}$ Mo has a high quadrupole moment and relaxes rapidly in all but the most


Fig. 4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the carbon atoms C 9 and cl 3 of $6-\mathrm{Br}$ at (a) room temperature and (b) $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; simulated spectra with (c) and without (d) coupling to ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Mo}$.
symmetric complexes, so that it effectively behaves as a spin 0 nucleus. The quadrupole moment of ${ }^{95}$ Mo is not very large, however, and couplings to it are frequently observed. If either of the two molybdenum atoms in 6 is ${ }^{95} \mathrm{Mo}$, the ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{MoP})$ (normally in the range of $90-250 \mathrm{~Hz}$ [14]) can introduce a very large effective shift difference between the phosphorus atoms. Thus, the observed pattern is a superposition of one AXX' $^{\prime}$ and one AMX pattern, of which the outer lines coincide (Fig. 4c) [15*]. If the temperature is lowered, the quadrupole relaxation of ${ }^{95}$ Mo becomes faster, the ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{MoP})$ coupling is lost, and the normal AXX' pattern is restored (Fig. 4d) [16*].

## Conclusions

The new ligand $\mathrm{L}^{-}$can, indeed, form stable nitrogen-bridged bis(chelate) complexes. The robustness of this system is clearly illustrated by the reaction of 5 with an excess of bromine, which results only in oxidation at the metal, even though free anilines undergo rapid ring halogenation with bromine. Therefore, we can expect the diphosphinoaniline system to be capable of holding together metal atoms even under the relatively drastic conditions necessary for many chemical reactions.

## Experimental

## 2,6-Bis(diphenylphosphino)- $N$-methylaniline ( $L H$ )

A stirred solution of 46 mmol of $N$-methylaniline in 100 ml of THF was cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $29 \mathrm{ml}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane; 46 mmol$)$ was added dropwise. The cooling bath was then removed and the temperature was allowed to rise to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Carbon dioxide was bubbled through the solution for a few minutes; this resulted in
a marked rise in temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and 100 ml of THF was added. The resulting solution of the lithium carbamate was cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 38 ml of 1.4 M solution in hexanes; 53 mmol ) was added dropwise to the stirred solution. The temperature was then allowed to rise to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept there for 30 min . The solution was again cooled to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 9 ml of $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P C l}(50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 ml of THF was added with stirring. The solution was slowly warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then cooled again to $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the addition of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCl}$ repeated. After this second addition, the temperature was again raised to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Then, 100 ml of THF and 50 ml of 2 $M \mathrm{HCl}$ were added, resulting in a visible evolution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. After 30 min the solution was made strongly alkaline with concentrated aqueous NaOH . A few minutes later, the THF layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with two $50-\mathrm{ml}$ portions of THF. The THF was removed in vacuo and the residue was crystallized from ethanol containing a little THF (yield: 55\%).
$[\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{LH}] \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(1)$
A mixture of 475 mg ( 1 mmol ) $\mathbf{L H}$ and $264 \mathrm{mg}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ molybdenum hexacarbonyl in 50 ml of toluene was refluxed for 2 h then cooled to room temperature and the solvent evaporated. The residual solid was washed with hexane and dried in vacuo.
$\left[\mu-\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N}: \mathrm{P}^{\prime}-\mathrm{LH}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (3)
A mixture of $570 \mathrm{mg}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{LH}$ and $665 \mathrm{mg}(2.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ molybdenum hexacarbonyl in 50 ml of toluene was refluxed for 4 h then cooled to room temperature and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was washed with hexane and the (yellow) product dried in vacuo.
$[\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{LC}(\mathrm{O})] \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} \mathrm{Li}$ (4)
A stirred solution of 950 mg ( 2 mmol ) LH in 50 ml of THF was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1.25 \mathrm{ml}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ solution ( 1.6 M in hexane; 2 mmol ) was added dropwise. The mixture, which turned orange-red, was allowed to warm to room temperature and 528 mg ( 2 mmol ) of molybdenum hexacarbonyl were added, and the mixture refluxed for 1 h then cooled to room temperature. The dark red solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue recrystallized from toluene/THF as small yellow crystals.
$\left.\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right][\mathrm{MoCO})_{4}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Li}(5)$
A stirred solution of $4.75 \mathrm{~g}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of LH in 50 ml of THF was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 6.25 ml of a ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}$ solution ( 1.6 M in hexane, 10 mmol ) were added dropwise; The mixture turned orange-red. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Then, $5.28 \mathrm{~g}(20 \mathrm{mmol})$ of molybdenum hexacarbonyl were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was removed, leaving the dark red complex 5.
$\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-L\right][\mu-\mathrm{Br}]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{2}(6-\mathrm{Br})$
A stirred solution of $3.69 \mathrm{~g}(4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Li}(5)$ in 75 ml of THF was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $0.64 \mathrm{~g}(8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bromine were added. The colour immediately changed from clear red to dark green and then, with evolution
of CO, to very dark red. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature; release of CO was again observed and the colour changed to dark green. The solvent was evaporated, 50 ml of water were added to the residue, and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane. The organic fraction was dried over magnesium sulphate and the solvent removed in vacuo. The product ( $6-\mathrm{Br}$ ) was crystallized from THF/ether as small dark-green crystals ( $86 \%$ yield).

NMR monitoring of the oxidation of 5 with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$
A solution of $90 \mathrm{mg}(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})\left[\mu-P, N: P^{\prime}, N-\mathrm{L}\right]\left[\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2} \mathrm{Li}(5)$ in 3 ml $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was prepared in a sapphire high-pressure NMR tube at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 0.5 ml $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ was added. The reaction was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, starting at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Raising the temperature to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in a broadening of the sharp singlet of $5(\delta=37.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectrum. At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the first stage of the reaction was complete and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectrum showed only the two resonances of intermediate $7(\delta=75.0$ and 34.9 ppm$)$. The NMR tube was cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to suppress further reaction, and a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum was recorded. After this, the temperature was raised to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in the gradual disappearance of 7 and the formation of $6-\mathrm{Cl}(\delta=47.1 \mathrm{ppm})$.
$X$-ray structure determination of $6-\mathrm{Br}$
$\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrMo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{4}, M_{\mathrm{w}}=976.3$, monoclinic $P 2_{1} / c$ (No. 14), $a=11.385(3), b=$ $21.398(6), c=14.696(5) \AA, \beta=91.08(3)^{\circ}, U=3580(2) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.81 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu\left(\right.$ Mo $\left.-K_{\alpha}\right)=18.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=1808, T=295 \mathrm{~K}$. Crystal faces [distances in mm from origin] ( 100 ) [0.045], ( -100 ) [0.045], ( 010 ) [0.040], ( $0-1$ $0)$ [0.040], ( 001 ) [0.14], $(00-1)$ [0.14]; minimum and maximum transmission coefficients: $0.838,0.870$.

A single crystal of $6-\mathrm{Br}$ (approx. dimensions $0.08 \times 0.09 \times 0.28 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was mounted in a thin-walled glass capillary under nitrogen. All diffraction measurements were made on a Nicolet P3m diffractometer at room temperature, using graphite monochromated Mo-K X-radiation. Unit cell dimensions were determined from 15 centered reflections in the range $25.0<2 \theta<27.0^{\circ}$. A total of 5846 diffracted intensities were measured in a unique quadrant of reciprocal space for $4.0<2 \theta<$ $50.0^{\circ}$ by Wyckoff $\omega$ scans. For $40<2 \theta<50^{\circ}$, reflections with intensity $<20 \mathrm{cs}^{-1}$ were not recorded. Three check reflections re-measured after every 50 ordinary data showed no significant decay but ca. $3 \%$ variation over the period of data collection; an appropriate correction was therefore applied. Of the intensity data collected, 4067 unique observations remained after averaging of duplicate and equivalent measurements and deletion of systematic absences; of these, 2547 with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ were retained for use in structure solution and refinement. An absorption correction was applied on the basis of the indexed crystal faces, and the data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

The structure was solved by conventional heavy-atom (Patterson and Fourier) methods; all calculations were carried out on a Nicolet R3m/V structure determination system using programs of the SHELXTL-PLUS package [17]. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors were taken from reference [18]. All atoms heavier than carbon were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters, all carbons were refined isotropically, and all hydrogens were assigned a fixed thermal parameter of $0.06 \AA^{2}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined without positional constraints; hydrogen atoms were

Table 4
Final positional end equivalent thermal parameters for $6-\mathbf{B r}$

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mol | 0.8528(1) | 0.36293(5) | 0.14049(7) | 0.0293(4) |
| Mo2 | 0.6680 (1) | $0.26403(5)$ | 0.12949(7) | 0.0296(4) |
| Br | 0.7738(1) | 0.30138(6) | 0.28673(8) | 0.0397(5) |
| P1 | 1.0612(3) | 0.3269(1) | 0.1655(2) | 0.034(1) |
| P2 | 0.7319(3) | 0.1518(1) | 0.1413(2) | 0,031(1) |
| O1 | 0.653(1) | 0.4633(5) | 0.138(1) | 0.125(7) |
| O 2 | 0.9102(9) | 0.4217(5) | -0.0464(6) | 0.068(4) |
| 03 | 0.954(1) | 0.4843(5) | 0.2312(7) | 0.092(5) |
| 04 | 0.5645(8) | 0.2407(4) | -0.0630(5) | 0.053(3) |
| O5 | 0.438(1) | 0.2126(5) | 0.2161(9) | $0.100(5)$ |
| 06 | 0.4801(9) | $0.3704(4)$ | $0.1091(7)$ | 0.071(4) |
| N | 0.8525(8) | 0.2662(4) | 0.0938(5) | 0.027(3) |
| C1 | 0.716(1) | 0.4219(7) | 0.136(1) | 0.061(4) |
| C2 | 0.892(1) | $0.3971(6)$ | 0.0213(8) | 0.041(3) |
| C3 | 0.916(1) | 0.4398(6) | $0.1990(8)$ | 0.044(3) |
| C4 | 0.609(1) | 0.2480(5) | $0.0085(8)$ | 0.037(3) |
| C5 | 0.521(1) | 0.2319(7) | $0.1855(9)$ | $0.057(4)$ |
| C6 | 0.556(1) | $0.3359(6)$ | 0.1206(8) | 0.044(3) |
| C7 | 0.882(1) | 0.2554(5) | -0.0046(7) | 0.038(3) |
| C8 | 0.928(1) | 0.2243(5) | 0.1469(7) | 0.032(3) |
| C9 | 1.037(1) | 0.2433(5) | 0.1789(7) | 0.034(3) |
| C10 | 1.105(1) | 0.2036(6) | 0.2276(8) | 0.051(4) |
| C11 | 1.071(1) | 0.1427(7) | 0.2468(9) | 0.056(4) |
| C12 | 0.957(1) | 0.1236(6) | 0.2186(8) | 0.048(4) |
| C13 | 0.888(1) | 0.1642(5) | 0.1679(7) | 0.034(3) |
| C14 | 1.144(1) | 0.3479(5) | 0.2671(8) | 0.039(3) |
| C15 | 1.088(1) | $0.3611(6)$ | 0.3464(9) | 0.056(4) |
| C16 | 1.150(2) | $0.3745(7)$ | 0.428(1) | 0.069(5) |
| C17 | 1.269(2) | 0.3749 (7) | 0.427(1) | 0.073(5) |
| C18 | 1.328(1) | 0.3618(7) | 0.3485(9) | 0.064(4) |
| C19 | $1.268(1)$ | 0.3473(6) | 0.2684(9) | 0.049(4) |
| C20 | 1.163(1) | $0.3372(5)$ | 0.0737(8) | 0.037(3) |
| C 21 | 1.181(1) | $0.3985(6)$ | 0.0420(9) | 0.056(4) |
| C22 | 1.253(1) | 0.4087(8) | -0.031(1) | 0.074(5) |
| C23 | 1.304(2) | 0.3597(8) | -0.073(1) | 0.076(5) |
| C24 | 1.287(2) | 0.3015(8) | -0.044(1) | 0.078(5) |
| C25 | 1.216(1) | 0.2887(7) | $0.0300(9)$ | 0.062(4) |
| C26 | 0.680(1) | 0.1028(5) | $0.2336(8)$ | 0.036(3) |
| C27 | 0.660(1) | 0.1309(6) | 0.3164(8) | 0.046(3) |
| C28 | 0.621(1) | 0.0956(6) | 0.3873(9) | 0.051(4) |
| C29 | 0.602(1) | 0.0328(6) | 0.3769(9) | 0.051(4) |
| C30 | 0.628(1) | 0.0047(7) | 0.2997(9) | 0.066(4) |
| C31 | 0.665(1) | 0.0387(7) | 0.2233(9) | 0.058(4) |
| C32 | 0.727(1) | 0.1002(6) | 0.0440 (8) | 0.042(3) |
| C33 | 0.824(1) | $0.0715(6)$ | 0.0093(9) | 0.057(4) |
| C34 | 0.816(2) | 0.0312(7) | -0.065(1) | 0.078(5) |
| C35 | 0.711(2) | $0.0204(7)$ | -0.103(1) | 0.067(4) |
| C36 | $0.615(2)$ | 0.0477(7) | -0.075(1) | 0.073(5) |
| C37 | 0.620(1) | $0.0886(7)$ | 0.0011 (9) | 0.064(4) |

constrained to idealised geometries $(d(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})=0.96 \AA$ ). Full-matrix least-squares refinement of this model ( 252 parameters) converged to final residual indices $R=0.047, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.051, S=1.18\left[19^{*}\right]$. Weights $w$ were set equal to $\left[\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+\right.$ $\left.g F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right]^{-1}$, where $\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is the variance in $F_{\mathrm{o}}$ by counting statistics, and $g=0.0005$ was chosen to minimize the variation in $S$ as a function of $F_{0}$. Final difference electron density maps showed no features outside the range from +0.6 to -0.5 $\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$, the largest of these being close to carbon atoms of the phenyl rings. Table 4 lists the final atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms.

Supplementary material available. Tables of positional and thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, and observed and calculated structure factors ( 15 pages) are available on request from one of the authors (PHMB).
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